

Ground Fielding Decision Making Workbook - Build a Reliable Baseline

Ground Fielding | Build a Reliable Baseline | Asset ID CRIC-19-04-01

Purpose: This original printable decision making workbook helps players, coaches, clubs, schools and families work with ground fielding while pursuing one defined outcome: understand the current skill before changing technique. Its method is to read the situation, name options, choose and review the consequence. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for current competition rules, safe facilities, suitable equipment and qualified coaching or health guidance where those are needed.

Resource Map

The subject focus is to close space, stay balanced and choose a collection method suited to the ball. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present practice block, and date any observation that may change.

#	Working area	Current evidence	Next check
1	Approach Angle	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
2	Body Height	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
3	Two-Hand Pickup	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
4	One-Hand Pickup	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
5	Clean Transfer	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
6	Throw Decision	Known / estimated / not checked	_____

Foundation Starting Brief

- Survey: Which evidence separates throw decision from approach angle in this case? Survey the present state before setting a target.
- Select: How does body height change the interpretation of two-hand pickup? Select the smallest useful starting point.
- Bound: What boundary on one-hand pickup must be checked before relying on clean transfer? Bound the work by time, scope and available support.
- Prepare: Which observation links approach angle to one-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain? Prepare the materials, conditions and owner.
- Begin: What alternative explanation could account for both two-hand pickup and throw decision? Begin with one observable action.
- Edition success means: record several comparable attempts and a clear starting measure

Decision Making Workbook

This format is designed to improve choices by linking ball, field and match information. For ground fielding, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: read the situation, name options, choose and review the consequence. The edition target is build a reliable baseline, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

Working step	What to record	Evidence or source	Status
Survey Throw Decision	Which evidence separates throw decision from approach angle in this case?	Starting view / date	Open / checked
Select Approach Angle	How does body height change the interpretation of two-hand pickup?	First priority / date	Open / checked
Bound Body Height	What boundary on one-hand pickup must be checked before relying on clean transfer?	Boundary / date	Open / checked
Prepare Two-Hand Pickup	Which observation links approach angle to one-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain?	Readiness / date	Open / checked
Begin One-Hand Pickup	What alternative explanation could account for both two-hand pickup and throw decision?	First result / date	Open / checked
Confirm Clean Transfer	What next check on clean transfer would make the record about body height more useful?	Foundation check / date	Open / checked

Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to ground fielding, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: use one repeatable drill at comfortable intensity
- Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: the first target is too large.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

Working Note

Edition CRIC-19-04-01 pairs decision making workbook with the outcome 'Build a Reliable Baseline'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

Analysis x Foundation Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a analysis method with a foundation outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - close space, stay balanced and choose a collection method suited to the ball - into evidence for build a reliable baseline. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

#	Action	Constraint	Record
1	Question / Survey body height	Which evidence separates throw decision from approach angle in this case? Survey the present state before setting a target.	Question / Starting view
2	Measure / Select two-hand pickup	How does body height change the interpretation of two-hand pickup? Select the smallest useful starting point.	Baseline / First priority
3	Analyse / Bound one-hand pickup	What boundary on one-hand pickup must be checked before relying on clean transfer? Bound the work by time, scope and available support.	Pattern / Boundary
4	Contrast / Prepare clean transfer	Which observation links approach angle to one-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain? Prepare the materials, conditions and owner.	Alternative / Readiness
5	Stress-test / Begin throw decision	What alternative explanation could account for both two-hand pickup and throw decision? Begin with one observable action.	Stress test / First result
6	Qualify / Confirm approach angle	What next check on clean transfer would make the record about body height more useful? Confirm what is ready for the next cycle.	Decision / Foundation check

Common Failure Modes

- For body height, watch for the question is too broad together with the starting state is assumed.
- For two-hand pickup, watch for the baseline is not comparable together with the first target is too large.
- For one-hand pickup, watch for one result is an outlier together with the scope has no boundary.
- For clean transfer, watch for correlation is mistaken for cause together with required support is missing.
- For throw decision, watch for the alternative fits equally well together with several actions start together.

Independent Review Question

Format question: Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion? Outcome question: Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue? Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional where appropriate, then answer before the next practice block: _____

Cricket Practice Plan for Build a Reliable Baseline

Use one defined practice block to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs analysis with foundation to pursue this objective: understand the current skill before changing technique. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

Plan field	Entry
Purpose	Build a Reliable Baseline
Starting condition	_____
Chosen working area	_____
Readiness	use one repeatable drill at comfortable intensity
Evidence to capture	_____
Stop or review condition	_____

Thirty Minute Practice Shape

Stage	Action	Evidence
0-5 min	Which evidence separates throw decision from approach angle in this case? Define the exact analytical question.	Question / Starting view
5-10 min	How does body height change the interpretation of two-hand pickup? Capture a comparable baseline.	Baseline / First priority
10-18 min	What boundary on one-hand pickup must be checked before relying on clean transfer? Examine the strongest pattern.	Pattern / Boundary
18-24 min	Which observation links approach angle to one-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain? Test an alternative explanation.	Alternative / Readiness
24-27 min	What alternative explanation could account for both two-hand pickup and throw decision? Check the result under one changed condition.	Stress test / First result
27-30 min	What next check on clean transfer would make the record about body height more useful? State a bounded conclusion.	Decision / Foundation check

Analysis Pass Log

Analysis Pass	Condition	Observation	Decision
1	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
2	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
3	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
4	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
5	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check

Analytical Claim Test - Foundation Lens

This comparison tests ground fielding against build a reliable baseline through two independent questions. Format: Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion? Outcome: Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

Evidence area	Baseline	Alternative	Interpretation prompt
Clean Transfer	_____	_____	Which evidence separates throw decision from approach angle in this case?
Throw Decision	_____	_____	How does body height change the interpretation of two-hand pickup?
Approach Angle	_____	_____	What boundary on one-hand pickup must be checked before relying on clean transfer?
Body Height	_____	_____	Which observation links approach angle to one-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain?
Two-Hand Pickup	_____	_____	What alternative explanation could account for both two-hand pickup and throw decision?
One-Hand Pickup	_____	_____	What next check on clean transfer would make the record about body height more useful?

Format Decision Test

Field	Record
Review question	Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion?
Outcome question	Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue?
Acceptance lens	record several comparable attempts and a clear starting measure
Conditions held steady	_____
Evidence supporting the choice	_____
Evidence against the choice	_____

Analysis Confidence Check

- Starting view: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates baselines captured?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates first priority selected?
- Challenge: could several actions start together explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about throw decision?

Analysis x Foundation Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for build a reliable baseline. Each card joins a analysis failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

#	Situation	Review question	Decision
1	Throw Decision: the baseline is not comparable.	Which evidence separates throw decision from approach angle in this case?	Starting view
2	Approach Angle: one result is an outlier.	How does body height change the interpretation of two-hand pickup?	First priority
3	Body Height: correlation is mistaken for cause.	What boundary on one-hand pickup must be checked before relying on clean transfer?	Boundary
4	Two-Hand Pickup: the alternative fits equally well.	Which observation links approach angle to one-hand pickup, and what remains uncertain?	Readiness
5	One-Hand Pickup: the conclusion overreaches.	What alternative explanation could account for both two-hand pickup and throw decision?	First result
6	Clean Transfer: the question is too broad.	What next check on clean transfer would make the record about body height more useful?	Foundation check

Decision Record

Field	Notes
Chosen format scenario	_____
Outcome lens	Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue?
Evidence available	_____
Evidence missing	_____
Controlled next step	_____
Person / review date	_____

Eight Analysis and Foundation Evidence Tracker

Track build a reliable baseline across eight practice blocks using the analysis method and the foundation outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue?

#	Focus	Condition	Result	Next action
1	Throw Decision	_____	_____	_____
2	Approach Angle	_____	_____	_____
3	Body Height	_____	_____	_____
4	Two-Hand Pickup	_____	_____	_____
5	One-Hand Pickup	_____	_____	_____
6	Clean Transfer	_____	_____	_____
7	Throw Decision	_____	_____	_____
8	Approach Angle	_____	_____	_____

Analysis Evidence Dashboard

Measure	Baseline	Current	Evidence location
Approach Angle: Questions Bounded / Starting Conditions Recorded	_____	_____	_____
Body Height: Baselines Captured / First Priority Selected	_____	_____	_____
Two-Hand Pickup: Patterns Tested / Scope Boundaries Stated	_____	_____	_____
One-Hand Pickup: Alternatives Considered / Readiness Items Confirmed	_____	_____	_____
Clean Transfer: Limitations Stated / First Actions Completed	_____	_____	_____
Throw Decision: Conclusions Qualified / Next Cycles Approved	_____	_____	_____

Interpret the Trend

- I recorded a real starting point rather than an idealised one.
- I chose a first step that can be checked before the work expands.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for build a reliable baseline improves without hiding several actions start together.
- How does body height change the interpretation of two-hand pickup?
- Use a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

Analysis x Foundation Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this decision making workbook, the intended result was build a reliable baseline; the outcome test is: Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue? The close-out standard remains: record several comparable attempts and a clear starting measure.

Close-out field	Record
Most useful evidence	_____
Important limitation	_____
Decision	Keep / adapt / pause / seek review
Next action	_____
Owner and date	_____
Evidence location	_____

Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates throw decision from approach angle in this case?
- Subject check: How does body height change the interpretation of two-hand pickup?
- Format check: I stated what the analysis does not establish.
- Outcome check: I chose a first step that can be checked before the work expands.
- I used a progressive warm-up and did not ignore pain, unsafe surfaces, heat or fatigue.
- I kept the drill generic and did not imply affiliation with a player, team, league or governing body.

Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This general practice, observation and review aid was written specifically for asset CRIC-19-04-01. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.